

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,874	03/31/2001	Carolyn Ramsey Catan	US010157		
75	90 10/04/2002				
Corporate Patent Counsel U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, KIMBERLY D		
Tarrytown, NY	10591		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	Ju				
° Office Action Summary		09/823,87	4	CATAN, CAROLYN RAMSEY					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Kimberly D	. Nguyen	2876					
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	Decreasive to communication(s) filed on								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	—· is action is	non-final						
2a)□	·—			rosecution as to th	e merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
•	on of Claims								
-	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
,	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.								
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <u> </u> ap	proved b) disappro	oved by the Examin	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	<u>' and 4</u> .		y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/823,874

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they 1. do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:
 - Page 29, line 11: The "M" is not in the drawings.
 - Fig. 6A: Select resource "S12" should be replaced with "S2".

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: 2.
 - Page 25: Brief description of Fig. 23 is missing.
 - Page 31, line 17: LAN server "140" should be replaced to "150", according to Fig. 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 3. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

⁽²⁾ a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/823,874

Art Unit: 2876

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Montanari et al. (US 5,478,990).

Montanari teaches a system for tracking descriptive information about a changeable article which comprises a machine readable label (MRL) R-TN attachable to articles; processors connectable to a MRL reader and programmed to create an association between data stored in an MRL with particular data describing a given article and store the association in a data store; the particular data including a changeable characteristic of the given article; the one or more processors being programmed to scan the MRL and permit a user to complete a transaction involving the given article including reading the particular data in the data store, the transaction being responsive to the particular data (see figs. 1-6; col. 6, lines 10-36; col. 7, lines 39-61; col. 10, lines 19-27; col. 10, lines 51-61; col. 11, lines 8-61; col. 14, lines 11-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montanari in view of O'Hagan et al. (US 5,821,512). The teachings of Montanari have been discussed above.

Montanari fails to specifically teach a tracking information system wherein the correlation in the data store is automatically deleted.

Art Unit: 2876

O'Hagan teaches a system for tracking information 18 wherein the correlation in the data store is automatically deleted responsively to one or more predetermined events (see fig. 6, step 318; col. 11, lines 4-34).

Page 4

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the notoriously old and well known automatic data deletion as taught by O'Hagan to the teachings of Montanari in order to ease the users from tracking the items themselves (i.e., one will automatically delete the item from the list if the item had been sold out) and to further prevent unwanted duplication of items being processed/purchased.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kouchi et al. (5,541,394) teaches a delivery service management system wherein the service information associated with a given source is fed back to a given source represented by the key information from a set of pieces of received information. Belcher et al. (US 5,920,287) teaches a radio location system for precisely tracking objects by RF transceiver tags which randomly and repetitively emit wideband identification signals. Gallagher, III et al. (US 5,959,531) teaches an optical interface between receiver and tag response signal analyzer in RFID system for detecting low power resonant tags. Leestemaker (US 5,001,331) teaches a system for establishing production history. Ogasawara (US 6,123,259) teaches an electronic shopping system including customer relocation recognition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,874 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-8792.

KDN September 26, 2002

> THIEN M. LE PRIMARY EXAMINER